

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/646,178 | 08/22/2003 | Steven L. Naberhuis | 10006167-2 (SEAG 9086 77939) | |
| 75 | 7590 08/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| Benjamin T. Queen, II | | | EDUN, MOHAMMAD N | |
| Pietragallo, Bosick & Gordon LLP One Oxford Centre, 38th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2627 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/646,178 | NABERHUIS, STEVEN L. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | MUHAMMAD N. EDUN | 2627 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au | <u>ugust 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-16,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-9, 11-16, 18 and 19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | · | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | _ | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Infon | ration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-7, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raese (6,700,853).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2627

Rease discloses the invention as claimed. Figs. 1-11 show the data storage device (100) having: the closed interior space (104) containing a noble gas; the plurality of electron emitters (108) having emission surfaces exposed within the interior space, the electron emitters adapted to emit electron beams; and the storage medium (110) contained within the interior space in proximity to the electron emitters, the storage medium having a plurality of storage areas that are capable of at least two distinct states that represent data, the state of the storage areas being changeable in response to bombardment by electron beams emitted by the electron emitters (see column 4, line 62-column 5, line 10 and column 5, lines 19-35, that describes the storage medium having the different states that can represent "1" and "0" bit, and also being changeable by bombardment by electron beams), as set forth in the claims.

Further the reference teaches: the interior space is maintained in vacuum of at least 10-3 Torr (see column 3, lines 5-7), as set forth in claims 3-7; and the electron emitter comprises field or flat emitter or various other types of emitters (see for example column 3, lines 12-18), as set forth in claims 6 and 7.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated

Application/Control Number: 10/646,178

Art Unit: 2627

by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 18 and 19 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, 16 and 23 of U. S. Patent No. 6,738,336, since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The claims of the current application are broader that the claims of the patent, and would therefore be anticipated by the patent. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 18 and 19 of the current application correspond to claims 1-6, 8, 8, 9-13, 15, 16 and 23 of the patent, respectively.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of

Application/Control Number: 10/646,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 9, 11-15 and 23 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record alone or in combination does not teach or suggest the data storage device having the combination elements and taken the claims as a whole in combination with having the means for removing contaminants from the emission surface of the electron emitter, as set forth in claims 8, 9 and 11-15.

Further the prior art does not teach the method for removing contaminants from an emission surface of an electron emitter of a data storage device having the combination of steps with their recited process, along with having the atoms of the gas are ionized by impact with the electron beam an accelerated toward the emission surface to sputter remove the contaminants from the emission surface, as set forth in claim 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,178 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD N. EDUN whose telephone number is 571-272-7617. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXITIME.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MUHAMMAD N EDUN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

ind Ell